1. What is the level of your satisfaction with the following areas?

★ = poor ☼ / ★★★★★ = excellent ☼ (Please tick)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satisfaction on the…</th>
<th>Poor ★</th>
<th>Fair ★★</th>
<th>Good ★★★</th>
<th>Very good ★★★★</th>
<th>Excellent ★★★★★</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conference as a whole</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location/Venue</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization/Logistic</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmosphere during the meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Facilitation (Jost Wagner)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity to exchange ideas and opinions with other colleagues</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction with the quality of content</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction with the use of methodologies/facilitative approaches</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have we met your expectations? 5 Stars: we exceeded your expectations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satisfaction on selected sessions</th>
<th>Poor ★</th>
<th>Fair ★★</th>
<th>Good ★★★</th>
<th>Very good ★★★★</th>
<th>Excellent ★★★★★</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Economic Development in Practice: What Have We Learned? (Caravan Session Day 1 and Day 2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bringing LED to the next level (Table Work on Day 2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Trip to Ratnapura</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-cutting issues and the Way forward (Day 4)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. What were the highlight(s) in this forum for you?

- Arrangement of self-help group, Arrangement of producer group, Market alliance
- Learning from a wide range of colleagues working on LED about different models, trade-offs, etc.
- It is always great to be able to meet and learn from so many different projects and countries. Really appreciated the “Caravan” model to get exposed to so many CDD-LED projects. The producer alliance case stood out as a highlight to me
- I learned a lot about CDD and LED from other countries, that I could not possibly do it by reading hundreds of page documents. I understood that CDD and LED cannot work together, but can complement each other!
- The field trip and seeing communities carrying the torch after project conclusion
- Fact and experiences exchanged in CDD’s project implementation. Bringing new factors into CDD approach and implementation
- Opening session with past evidence by Scott, Parmesh, and Susan, Caravan 1, Side conversations!, LED breakout on Day 4
- Sharing from Bolivia on Productive Alliance, CDD Interventions in Sri Lanka – forming people associations company
- Learning good experience from Bolivia, Sri Lanka, India and Indonesia; have a clear idea on concept note drafting for LED in my country, CDD group networking which is helpful to communicate and support each other, proud to be representing my country to get quality information
- Sharing experience knowledge of CDD program- LED, local marketing to produce
- Productive alliance experience, next steps on CDDs, Contributions by community members
- Shared knowledge among different countries / practitioners
- All sessions good, last working group on Day 3.5 by far most useful – intensive discussion on the theme of the conference
- There is always something new we can learn from this kind of event: Level of community organizing maturity, development and creativity that may bring them to the next level, DRM & Conflict management need to be explored further so that CDD approach may be used more effectively to address the issues
- Sustainability > community groups (PG) company alliance, learning platform => professional networking
- Field visit unpacking the Sri Lanka model, Group discussion on CDD/LED intersection, getting to know key implementers from other countries
- The vast knowledge and experiences that were presented and shared throughout the last four days, the opportunity to visit more success CCD sites on the third day
- Learning how different countries implement CDD and LED looking at different models
- Country level experience in Caravan format
- Different approaches to facilitation
- Exchange and integration of program at different stages of LED Development, Integration of Social and AG
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- Practices of successful CDD programmes
- Outcomes and sustainability of CDD projects in South Asian countries
- Understanding Link CDD-Led, Prerequisite to invest a program into established platform of CDD
- LED sessions-Caravan method, good choices of cases and good resource persons, participants were soooo engaged and went out of their way and exchange beyond sessions
- Cross-country learning and sharing on LED & CDD; producers alliance (Value Chain Development), Sustainability of CDD through Government ownership; delivery-based payment system
- Accomplishments for different countries, the same approach for national development
- Bringing CDD’s approach to great (…) development
- Sharing experience of CDD program from other country (…) on LED
- Cross learning from different countries; understanding the emerging model and its relevance for many project
- Learning from each other experience, Livelihood program in each country
- Insights to address next local challenges; addressing political will issue, LED, Marketing, Analysis
- Sharing experiences regarding CDD; bringing up obstacles and challenges; bringing up alternative solutions and approaches
- LED (2x)
- Experience from different countries and how they adapt CDD to the local context
- Discussing with other participants on their experience
- Caravan – learning about how programs are doing LED – some combining it with CDD and some separate it totally
- CDD and LED concept ideas (especially Indian case)
- How CDD practice in LED from other countries; Combination between CDD and LED for maximum benefit to beneficiaries, mainstreaming CDD in government system
- Knowledge and information sharing on the CDD projects in different countries
- Wealth of experiences and knowledge shared by minister, CDD practitioners and other participants to find solutions to help communities
- 2 LED presentations by Parmesh and Susan, Facilitation by Jost, Caravan format, Productive Alliance PPT
- Experience and knowledge sharing, networking
- I get more information
- LED-related sessions; livelihood enhancement programs, conflict management
- Field trip
4. What are the new insights that you got from the discussions about CDD and LED that you can use to improve your work?

- Bring in LED Elements in Sectoral Projects
- Bring in Productive Alliance in ongoing agribusiness project
- Community Role (…)
- The livelihood projects and the success stories with the physical visit from which I have learned some valuable concepts that need to be adopted
- Integration of CDD and LEDE in practice, especially from field visit in village; core values of sustainability: cohesion, unity of group, educated and dedicated leadership, equity and equality
- LED different focus and objective than CDD, the next steps need different ways of doing things
- Different modules have aspects that we could take from our country, targeting the poor, linking community with private sector and marketing
- Mix everything in CDD and LED
- Methods to work
- To integrate Led into current CDD program
- I learn another practice and (…)
- Special Group focus; CDD and Led are integral and interconnected
- Productive Alliance projects of rural poverty reduction
- Good assessment, good plan, how to get funding, coordination, accountability
- The need for external input like the PRISMA model for LED, Taking CDD to national policy level
- I can be able to use this experience to influence government policies and line agencies to support this program in my country
- Partnership building. Need of political will and need to emphasize through intern. Organizations (like UN) about giving space for CDD project along with adequate allocation of resources
- More scenario to LED
- Practical modeling following the different area
- Activate sub-committees, integrate CDD and LED into regular government plan and program, clear / simple monitoring indicators to track change
- CDD as modality, LED as outcome – a lot of insights around that
- Model and doing of CDD
- Capacity building loan to members of community
- Identification of opportunity for poorer members and resource persons
- Introduce good experiences in our project
- Requirements from client government about specific issues they need advice about
• Separating CDD into different activities such as livelihood loans/savings, capacity building and infrastructure components, farming community companies. The need to take CDD to the next level with LED with entrepreneurs and use of incubation centers. Not one size fits all.
• Use of CDD and LED concepts and finding way in which these two concepts come into play at which time and in what ways the other complements each other
• Managing LED and CDD is different and Sri Lanka is a bit unique in combing together; we need to figure out how to build expertise on facilitation(?) of poor household groups with enterprise opportunities, not just ‘markets’
• CDD is the foundation for Economic Growth and Prosperity of the nation
• I need to institutionalize the human resources capacity at home to keep CDD in the (one of) development agenda
• CDD can graduate into LED, CDD is a modality – LED is an outcome, CDD has limitations in terms of scope of (…) into private sector
• So far have gained experience in CDD and it was successful. But really need to transfer to LED approaches.
• Group ethical regulations
• That I can use to improve the CDD program to LED is livelihood program, because especially in my country Timor Leste planning to implement livelihoods priority potentially and local markets (??)
• I get clear future in brain what CDD and LED (CDD is low risk and LED is high risk)
• The way to improve from groups – clusters – company. Combination of infrastructure and livelihood intervention to have impact on LED
• Unify principle and implementation principle for self-help groups, Community companies creation
• Opportunities (need?) for entrepreneurship into LED, savings/credit as foundational step from local infrastructure => LED, CDD as modality, LED as outcome with many potential modalities producer organizations, savings/credit, market/enterprise-based
• Productive Alliance in Latin America
• CDD and LED do not mix naturally. Perhaps separate vehicles needed.
• I think we can do 2 programs separately but design it in ways to complement each other on identification of potentials to support LED growth through CDD approaches!
• Importance of understanding different mental models, stakeholders and incentives. Role of Government is private sector in such ventures.
• The different strategies for LED that need to be applied for different objectives and target groups, role of aggregation and market orientation (Put ‘buyers’ first), need for reaching out to private sector
5. Were there any key voices that you wanted to hear from, who were missing from the CDD & LED discussion?

- Technical partners that are leading LED models
- Provide sector involved in related industries like the value chain (…)
- All voices are heard. Thanks
- Very good
- Private Sector (8x)
- Partners in organizations with stronger technical experience. Also impact investors, evaluators, authors.
- Private Sector particularly SME to get their thoughts in CDD and LED
- Private Sector voices, particularly social enterprises
- We may also need to see LED models beyond the CDD space (Growth Pole models)
- Maybe bringing private sector as lunch speakers to understand their ideas and constraints
- Sri Lanka government. Local government of Sri Lanka
- Local government
- Real field experience of CDD after conflict
- Slight mention about the country (population, governance, culture etc.)
- Consensus on components of CDD and its relevance in Asian/International/Pacific context
- Need to learn more about planning process at country level in case of Sri Lankan CDD
- LED in practical
- Not really missing, just want to hear more about operational details but this should be best as a follow-up
- Relevant government officers
- NGOs (2x)
- community resource persons
- From the WB side countries who failed CDD practices, reasons why
- Possible private sector partners, more input LED/Livelihood technical leads
- If we could have the actual communities to present during the actual conference
- Job creators, people who are tapping into the production and skills capability of poor households, government (….), the private sector for community benefit
- How can we get “technical” and financial resources, more learnings required to influence the government
- Yes, the NGOs and representative of the conflicting communities, I know it is difficult but it’s worth trying. It’s good for us to hear and learn their voice and then to hear our voices and concerns.
- Private Sector – cannot really discuss in a substantive way without having the business enterprises involved!
Private sector – would be good to have some buyer/enterprises to talk about their views/concerns when dealing with community groups / community-based organizations

Topic about labor issue/job employment opportunity

Ok with participants and sharing

6. Areas for improvement? Suggestions? Comments

- The workshop / conference was very well paced and designed so overall A+
- Couple of suggestions: Bring in private sector and non-CDD projects to present as well, Time for specific project design feedback e.g. the new ones in preparation
- We should have invited more representatives from host of Sri Lanka as they were less present
- Balance of participants (if LED, where are the entrepreneurs?), Field visit trade offs, and how to use transit time?, How to get more stickiness beyond meeting event
- CDD and LED was a bit mixed in the first but later on improved by separating the two themes! Will be good to keep them separated!
- Great job with this conference! Keep working on the CoP
- Please prepare a printed out guidance on CDD approach as a list of questions must be answered in order to design CDD projects
- Fantastic conference with deep, relevant conversations, very well organized and facilitated, feedback sessions to the group were often too long and meandering (despite Jost’s attempts to concision)
- Deeping sharing the detail technique of implementation
- Shorter time per field travelling to have more time for discussion with beneficiaries
- Flash Talks i.e. TED Talks Like for generating new ideas => CDD version 2.0, CDD with technology, CDD for landless / resourceless people
- More on best practices – like to know, Caravan sessions – better if could add some photos, videos, since for me less experience of other countries contexts, very big thank you for all the organizers
- Bank and other experts need to do more than just tell us about how much experience they have – they need to actually engage and share this experience, in detail, in a granular way!
- Can we try to propose three partite / reverse linkage events as a follow-up of this kind of conference?
- Well done. Keep it up
- Introduce some light (…) discussion to engage people coming to the event, bring the CoP website to life by sharing people how to find resources by presenting a few examples
- Just on the field trip, if we could visit a closer community so that we have more time to discuss with the communities
- The field trip was very useful to give visual and actual examples in the field
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- More time for guided coaching on the topic
- Small groups and more time to exchange with the beneficiaries at field visit
- Some PPT were invisible, too small letters, bad background colours
- Urban focused CDD projects and interventions
- Sharing a real improvement LED program
- More new LED type program
- Bringing more practical LED
- Is it possible next conference should invite the high level participant to (...) – more ministries and others
- Please make sure the PPT are big enough and uses readable colours for a group of this size – also please readable handouts
- Better marshaling of field visits – mobilize participants to help out, we lost a bit of time yesterday which could have been used for community dialoging
- Presentation by any country to detail out in 4-5 slides about demography, admin, governance, culture and pressing economic issues
- More time for caravan sessions, especially each country background before deep dive into LED modules
- For countries with vast experience and various programs should provide more time. Very interesting to learn from their experience
- Just first time to attend this conference, but I got more experiences for rural development and cooperatives improvement in Laos. Thank you very much WB, organizers, to let me come here. Really appreciated
- More practice lessons-learned of CDD and LED
- Need more physical visits to those success stories, logistics
- More material on “how-to” and operationalization
- Conflict management, Infrastructure-related discussions
- Some more time for countries to share their experiences through PPT and (...), so we can learn from each other more
- Continue exchanging of experience on CDD and LED
- Logistical arrangement
- CDD process should be performed infrastructure development and LED together
- Fieldtrip should be close to the CDD workshop venue. We should spend more time in field not in the bus. Also relevant sites should be selected to cover CDD and LED. Many thanks.
- No comment. I like this.