Global conference on

PROSPERITY, EQUALITY AND SUSTAINABILITY

PERSPECTIVES AND POLICIES FOR A BETTER WORLD

Organized by Institute for Human Development, New Delhi & World bank June 1 to 3, 2016

Title of the paper

PRESENCE OF HORIZONTAL EDUCATION INEQUALITY IN BODOLAND TERRITORIAL AREA DISTRICTS (BTAD) OF ASSAM

Rupan Boro PhD scholar (Economics) Department of Humanities and Social Sciences, IIT Guwahati.

Dr. Rajshree Bedamatta Associate Professor (Economics) Department of Humanities and Social Sciences, IIT Guwahati.

Introduction

- Inequality in access to education and therefore job opportunities adversely impact expansion of valuable capabilities.
- In a stratified society, if the privileged groups control political processes that run the school system and funding structure, favoring promotion of only members of the in-group, it gives rise to a deeply unequal society.
- Such inequality between groups is defined as *horizontal inequality* (HI) as opposed to *vertical inequality* (VI) (Stewart, 2000).

Distinction between horizontal inequalities (HIs) and vertical inequalities (VIs)

HIS	VIs				
Inequality at intergroup level.	Inequality at intra-group level.				
Apart from economic variables, HIs are measured based on variables like education, health outcome, political participation.	VIs are measured based on income, consumption expenditure and other wealth indicators.				
People are grouped, based on non- economic variables like ethnicity, religion and language.	People are grouped, based on income, consumption expenditure or other wealth indicators.				

Unlike vertical inequalities, horizontal inequalities are therefore multifaceted and include socio-economic, political and cultural dimensions

- Statistically significant and positive relationship between HIs and risk of violent conflicts (Stewart, 2000 & 2010; Langer, 2005; Tiwari, 2008 and Mancini, 2010).
- Socio-economic and political inequalities, has a potential of creating volatile situations(Ostby, 2007, Langer, 2010, Langer and Brown, 2010 and Stewart, 2010).
- Stewart (2000) and Ostby (2005) highlight political and social welfare as important factors in generating grievances.
- Lindquist (2012), shows education is as crucial in predicting groups' grievances and subsequent conflicts.
- Low levels and poor quality of education received by the children of subordinate groups make them more vulnerable in violent situations (Bush and Saltarelli, 2000).

Demographic profile of Assam



The ethnicity issue in Bodoland Territorial Area Districts

- Aspirations of various ethno-linguistic groups have led to intense competition over accessing economic resources and political power (Pathak, 2013; Mahanta, 2013; Motiram and Sarma, 2014).
- Secessionist conflicts has been seen in the case of Bodoland movement, resulting in ethnic clashes between Bodo-Muslim, Bodo-Santhal and Rabha-Non-Rabha groups in the Western Plains region of Assam.
- The group based conflicts present a case of intense "ethno-linguistic fractionalization" (Motiram and Sarma, 2014).

Table1 Timeline of group based conflicts in Bodoland Territorial Area Districts of Assam during1993-2014

Year	Communities involved	Districts involved	Person killed	Persons in relief camps
1993	Bodo-Muslims*	undivided Bongaigaon(B) and Kokrajhar(K)	61	-
1994	Bodo-Muslims*	undivided B & K	113	-
1996	Bodo-Adivasi*	undivided B & K	198	-
1998	Bodo-Adivasi	undivided B & K	186	
2008	Bodo-Muslims**	Darrang & Udalguri	64	1.94 lakhs
2012	Bodo-Muslims	Chirang, Kokrajhar and Dhubri	109	4.45 Lakhs
2014	Bodo, Muslims & Adivasi*	Baksa and Kokrajhar	46	498

Source: Department of Home Affairs, Government of Assam cited in Saikia (2015) and Hussain (2008) Note: * Massacre over Muslims or Adivasis by militant group (like Bodo Liberation Tigers or National Democratic Front of Bodoland)

** group based conflicts between the Muslims and other ethnic groups like Garos, Rabha, and other Assamese speaking people including Bodos

Group discrimination, in-migration of non-tribals to tribal areas, alienation of land, and domination of 'alien' language and culture are causes of Bodoland movement (Das,1982), (Goswami and Mukherjee, 1982), (Gohain 1989).

In BTAD, the various Bodo Accords have left the non-Bodo people with fear of "losing legitimate democratic rights" and of being deprived from socio-economic opportunities (Mahanta, 2013).

Formation of the *Oboro Surakshya Samiti* (Non-Bodos Protection Committee) and the *Sanmalita Janagastia Sangram Samiti* (SJSS: United Ethnic Peoples' Struggle Committee) bear evidence to the Bodo and non-Bodo rift (Mahanta, 2013 and Pathak, 2013).

Measurement of horizontal inequalities

- Quantitative and econometric approaches to understanding the nexus between ethnicity, violent conflicts and economic inequality have also been attempted (for example, Fearon and Laitin 2003; Collier and Hoeffler 2004; Dixon 2009; and Lindquist, 2012).
- Although theoretically the link between economic inequality and civil (or ethnic) conflicts can be established, empirical evidences do not support a significant cause and effect relationship.
- It is claimed, the measure of inequality used could be one of the various reasons.

- Stewart, Mancini and Brown (2010) recommend the coefficient of variation (CV), Gini coefficient and Theil index as appropriate for measurement of horizontal inequalities.
- Unlike the CV and Theil, Gini measures variation in performance of each group with every other group (Ray, 2010).
- All three measures give equal weight to redistribution at different income levels.
- Population weighted measurement is desirable so that more weight can be attached to the groups with larger share in population and vice-versa (Stewart, Mancini and Brown, 2010).

Measurement of Horizontal Inequalities

Group Gini
$$= \frac{1}{2\overline{Y}} \sum_{r}^{R} \sum_{s}^{S} P_{r} P_{s} \left| \overline{Y_{r}} - \overline{Y_{s}} \right|$$

Where:

 \overline{Y} = is mean of variable (say literacy rate of all groups), R=population size of group R (Say population size of STs) \overline{S} = population size of group S (say population size of Muslims) \overline{Y}_r = average of group R say literacy rate of STs \overline{Y}_s = mean of group S say literacy rate of Muslims P_r = share in total population of group R or STs P_s = share in total population of group S or Muslims

- In this study we use the GGini based on Mancini, Stewart and Brown (2010).
- In addition to that we test if inequality among the groups is statistically significant using one way analysis of variance (ANOVA).

Data source

- *Census of India*: Population by socio-religion groups from 1991, 2001 and 2011
- National Sample Survey Organization (NSSO):
- NSS unit level data of "Employment and Unemployment" from the 61st (2004-05) and 66th (2009-10) surveys
- Variables: *literacy rate of age 7+, current attendance rate (age 6 to 29)* and average year of schooling (age 15 and above).
- Since the districts we considered spread across the different regions, region level classification doest not allow measurement of HIs for BTAD.
- However, the sampling design since 61st round (2004-05) allows estimation at district level too.

Categorization of districts

- BTAD was created under the Sixth Schedule of the Constitution of India on February 10, 2003.
- BTAD constitutes four districts Baksa, Chirang, Kokrajhar and Udalguri .
- These districts were carved out of eight districts -Dhubri, Kokrajhar, Bongaigoan, Barpeta, Nalbari, Kamrup, Darra ng and Sonitpur.
- The unit level data provides a small sample size for individual districts.
- Therefore for convenience and keeping the objective of our study in mind, we have considered BTAD as a whole.

Limitation of data

- Information is collected by classifying population broadly in to STs, SCs, OBC and others but not collected ethnicity wise.
- However, the Bodo constitutes about 75% of total ST population in western Assam based on census of 2001.
- We have excluded Muslims from all social categories (STs, SC, OBC and other) and categorized them as a separate group.
- Households are classified into five categories-Muslims, STs, SCs, OBC and Others/general

Literacy rate by socio-religious groups among age 7 and above



Current attendance rate by socio-religious groups among age 6 to 29 years





Average year of schooling among the socio-religious groups

Inequalities in educational outcomes based on population weighted group gini coefficients

Table 5 Weighted Group Gini Coefficient calculated between groups in Assam andWestern Assam, 2004-05 to 2009-10

Educational indicator	2004-05		2009-10			
	Assam	W. Assam	Assam	W. Assam	BTAD	
Literacy rates	0.16***	0.25***	0.14***	0.17***	0.13**	
Average year of schooling	0.58***	0.67***	0.51***	0.48***	0.38***	
Current attendance rate 0.14** 0.16 0.17* 0.20 0.35**					0.35**	
<i>Source</i> Authors' calculation from NSSO's 61 st and 66 th round unit level surveys on Employment and Unemployment <i>Note</i> : The groups for which weighted group gini coefficient have been calculated are Muslims, STs, SCs, OBCs and General.						
*** indicates differences between the groups statistically significant at 1% level, ** at 5% level and * at 10% level						

Educational attainments among ethnic groups in Hasrawbari-II village



Average year of schooling



Table 6 Educational horizontal inequalities based on Group GiniCoefficient, Harawbari-II, 2016

	Literacy	Average year of	
	rate	schooling	Currently attending
GGini	0.52**	0.98***	0.49**

Source: Survey data, 2016

	<u> </u>	20	04-05	0 0	1 ,	2009	-10	
Col Mean	STs	SCs	OBCs	Muslims	STs	SCs	OBCs	Muslims
literacy rates								
SCa					1.26			
368	-2.65 (1.00)				(1.00)			
OPCa	2.19	4.84			5.51	4.24		
ODUS	(1.00)	(1.00)			(0.61)	(1.00)		
Muclime	-8.82	-6.17	-11.01		-3.19	-4.46	-8.70	
Musiiiis	(0.05)	(0.43)	(0.00)		(1.00)	(1.00)	(0.03)	
Comoral	4.81	7.45	2.62	13.63	8.33	7.07	2.83	11.53
General	(1.00)	(0.15)	(1.00)	(0.00)	(0.05)	(0.14)	(1.00)	(0.00)
			Curi	rent attendance ra	ites			
SCa	-0.07				3.00			
363	(1.00)				(1.00)			
ODC-	1.04	1.11			3.48	0.47		
ODCS	(1.00)	(1.00)			(1.00)	(1.00)		
Muclime	-4.18	-4.11	-5.22		0.33	-2.66	-3.14	
Musiiiis	(1.00)	(1.00)	(0.676)		(1.00)	(1.00)	(1.00)	
Conoral	3.48	3.56	2.44	7.67	9.83	6.82	6.34	9.49
General	(1.00)	(1.00)	(1.00)	(0.07)	(0.08)	(0.60)	(0.83)	(0.10)
			Average yea	ar of educational a	attainment			
SCa	-0.40				-0.27			
363	(0.08)				(0.69)			
OBCs	0.55	0.96			0.37	0.64		
ODCS	(0.00)	(0.00)			(0.02)	(0.00)		
Muclime	-1.00	-0.59	-1.56		-1.22	-0.94	-1.59	
MUSIIIIS	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)		(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	
Conoral	1.83	2.23	1.27	2.83	2.02	2.30	1.65	3.25
General	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)

A3.1	Pair wise con	nparison d	of educational	attainments amo	ng the social	groups in	<i>n Assam</i> , in	2004-05 an	d 2009-10
		1	1		0	0 1			

Note: figures in bracket are p value

		2004	-05			2009	-10	
Social	STs	SCs	OBCs	Muslims	STs	SCs	OBCs	Muslims
group				Litera	acy rates			
SCs	1.21				8.10			
	(1.00)				(0.61)			
OBCs	2.58	1.37			9.68	1.57		
0200	(1.00)	(1.00)			(0.26)	(1.00)		
Muslims	-11.90	-13.11	-14.48		-2.20	-10.30	-11.87	
1.14011110	(0.15)	(0.08)	(0.04)		(1.00)	(0.14)	(0.05)	
General	7.04	5.84	4.47	18.94	9.50	1.39	-0.18	11.69
	(1.00)	(1.00)	(1.00)	(0.00)	(0.29)	(1.00)	(1.00)	(0.05)
			Average y	ear of educatio	onal attainment	t		
SCs	0.11				0.06			
503	(1.00)				(1.00)			
OBCs	0.57	0.46			-0.16	-0.22		
0003	(0.09)	(0.93)			(1.00)	(1.00)		
Muslims	-1.08	-1.19	-1.65		-1.38	-1.44	-1.22	
114511115	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)		(0.000	(0.000	(0.00)	
General	1.99	1.88	1.42	3.07	1.88	1.82	2.04	3.26
General	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)

A3.2 Pair wise comparison of educational attainments among the social groups in Western Assam, in 2004-05 and 2009-10

Social groups	STs	SCs	OBCs	Muslims			
Social groups —		rates					
SCs	7.85 (0.003)						
OBCs	2.70 (1.00)	-5.15 (1.00)					
Muslims	-4.64 (1.00)	-12.49 (.03)	-7.34 (0.53)				
General	3.50 (1.00)	-4.36 (1.00)	0.80 (1.00)	8.14 (0.34)			
	Current attendance rates						
SCs	5.94 (1.00)						
OBCs	6.05 (1.00)	0.11 (1.00)					
Muslims	-0.71 (1.00)	-6.65 (1.00)	-6.77 (1.000				
General	-17.04 (0.06)	-22.98 (0.03)	-23.09 (0.01)	-16.33 (0.26)			
	Average year of educational attainment						
SCs	0.42 (1.00)						
OBCs	-0.21 (1.00)	-0.63 (1.00)					
Muslims	-1.80 (0.00)	-2.23 (0.00)	-1.60 (0.00)				
General	-0.73 (0.23)	-1.15 (0.03)	-0.52 (1.00)	1.08 (0.06)			

A 3.3 Pair wise comparison of educational attainments among the social groups in BTAD, in 2009-10

Ethnic groups	Bodos Muslims		Rajbongshis	
Lunie Groups	Lite	racy rates		
Muslims	-4.80 (1.00)			
Rajbongshis	-14.81 (0.53)	-10.01(0.71)		
Bengalees (H)	32.68 (0.17)	37.48 (0.038)	47.49 (0.01)	
	Average year of educationa	al attainment		
Muslims	-0.91 (0.084)			
Rajbongshis	-1.33 (0.051)	-0.42 (1.00)		
Bengalees (H)	2.75 (0.005)	3.66 (0.00)	4.08 (0.00)	

A3.4 Pair wise comparison of educational attainments by ethnicity in Hasrawbari-II, 2015

Conclusion

- HEIs is found highest with respect to average year of educational attainments in all the district categories.
- The horizontal inequalities in western Assam were seen relatively higher than in the state as a whole based on all the indicators.
- The calculations reveal that HEIs for the indicator current attendance rate are relatively higher in BTAD when compared to Western Assam and Assam.
- In Assam, differences in average year of schooling among all the social groups other than the difference between STs and SCs are statistically significant.
- In western Assam, difference of Muslims with SCs, OBC and General categories based on literacy rates are found significant.
- In BTAD literacy rates of Muslims are significantly different from that of SCs. The differences between STs and general are also significant.

- Current attendance rate of General category was significantly different from that of all the groups other than Muslims in BTAD.
- Muslim's average year of schooling on the other hand was significantly different from that of all the groups.
- In revenue village Hasrawbari-II also, group Gini estimates based on average year of schooling is the highest followed by literacy rate and current attendance rate.
- These differences across the ethnic groups are statistically significant and this is true for the educationally most backward group Muslim compared to advance group Bengali.
- Moreover, differences among all the ethnic groups other than Muslim and Rajbongshi based on average year of schooling are found statistically significant.



- APPENDIX 2 Anova result.docx
- <u>REFERENCES PES conference.docx</u>